

## Clwyd Pension Fund - Control Risk Register

## Governance Risks

- Objectives extracted from Governance Policy (03/2017), Training Policy (11/2015) and Procedures for Reporting Breaches of the Law (11/2015)
  [5] Act in the best Interests of the Fund's members and employers
  [6] Have rokust governance arrangements in place to facilitate informed decision making, supported by appropriate advice, policies and strategies
  [6] Ensure the Pension Fund is managed and its services delivered by people who have the appropriate howledge and expertises
  [6] Act with integrity and be accountable to our stakeholders for our decisions, ensuring they are robust and well based
  [7] Understand and monitor risk
  [8] Stive to ensure compliance with the appropriate legislation and statutory guidance, and to act in the spirit of other relevant guidelines and best practice guidance
  [7] Clearly articulate our objectives and how we intend to achieve those objectives through business planning, and continually measure and monitor success
  [7] Clearly articulate our objectives and how we intend to achieve those objectives through business planning, and continually measure and monitor success
  [7] Ensure that the Clivyt Pension Fund is appropriately managed and that its services are delivened by proceed when have the register, throwledge and expertise is maintained within the continually changing Local Government Pension Scheme and wider pensions landscape.
  [7] Those persons responsible for governing the Clivyt Pension Fund have sufficient expertise to be able to evaluate and challenge the advice they receive, ensure their decisions are robust and well based, and manage any potential conflicts of interest.
  [8] Assist in providing an early warning of possible malpractice and reduce risk.

Risk no:	Risk Overview (this will happen)	Risk Description (if this happens)	Strategic objectives at risk (see key)	Current Impact (see key)	Current Likelihood (see key)	Current Risk Status	Internal controls in place	Target Impact (see key)	Target Likelihood (see key)	Target Risk Status	Meets target?	Date Not Met Target From	Expected Back on Target	Further Action and Owner	Risk Manager	Next review date	Last Updated
1	Losses or other determintal impact on the Fund or its stakeholders	Risk is not identified and/or appropriately considered (recognishing that many risks can be identified but not managed to any degree of certainty)	All	Marginal	Low		2 - Risk register in place and key risks/movements considered quarterly and reported to each PFC 3 - Advisory panel meets at least quarterly discussing changing environment etc environment etc 4 - Fundamental review of risk register annually 5 - TPR Code Compliance review completed annually 6 - Annual internal and external audit reviews 7 - Breaches procedure also assists in identifyin key risks	Marginal	Low		©			None	CPFM	31/03/2019	13/04/2017
2	Inappropriate or no decisions are made	Governance (particularly at PFC) is poor including due to: - short appointments - poor knowledge and advice - poor engagement /preparation / commitment - poor oversight	G1/G2/G3/ G4/G5/G6/ G7	Negligible	Low		1 - Independent advisor focusing on governance including annual report considering structure, behaviour and knowledge     2 - Oversight by Local Pension Board     3 - Annual check against TPR Code     4 - Training Policy, Plan and monitoring in place for PC and PB members     5 - Training Needs self assessment carried out (January 2018) and training programme reviewed based on results     5 - There is a range of professional advisors covering all Fund responsibilities guiding the PC, PB and officers in their responsibilities ender the programme in place for new Committee members which covers CIPPA Knowledge and Stills requirements and can be delivered quickly.     7 - Terms of reference for the Committee in the Constitution allows for members to be on the Committee for between 4-8 years but they can be re-appointed.	Negligible	Low		<b>©</b>			None	СРҒМ	31/03/2019	04/06/2018
3	Our legal fiduciary responsibilities are not met	Decisions, particularly at PFC level, are influenced by conflicts of interest and therefore may not be in the best interest of fund members and employers	G1/G2/G4/ G6/T2	Negligible	Very Low		1 - Conflicts of Intenest policy focused on fiduciary responsibility regularly discussed and reviewed 2 - Independent advisor focusising on governance including annual report considering structure, behaviour and knowledge 3 - All stakeholders to which fiduciary responsibility applies represented at PFC and PB 4 - Training Policy, Plan and monitoring in place for PC and PB members including section on responsibilities 5 - There is a range of professional advisors covering all Fund responsibilities guiding the PC, PB and officers in their responsibilities 6 - Clear strategies and policies in place with Fund objectives which are aligned with folicitary responsibilities	Negligible	Very Low		<b>©</b>			None	CPFM	31/03/2019	13/11/2017
4	Appropriate objectives are not agreed or monitored - internal factors	Policies not in place or not being monitored	G2 / G7	Negligible	Very Low		Range of policies in place and all reviewed at least every three years     Review of policy dates included in business plan     Monitoring of all objectives at least annually (work in progress)     Policies supulate how monitoring is curried out and frequency     Subiness plan in place and regularly monitored.	Negligible	Unlikely		Current likelihood 1 too high	01/07/2016	Jul 2018	1- Ensure work relating to annual monitoring is completed and included in PFC papers (PL)	Pension Finance Managers	30/09/2018	13/11/2017
5	The Fund's objectives/legal responsibilities are not met or are compromised - external factors	Externally led influence and change such scheme change, national reorganisation and asset pooling	G1/G4/G6/ G7	Critical	Very High		1 - Continued discussions at AP, PFC and PB regarding this risk     2 - Involvement of CEO / links to WLGA and WG     3 - Fund's consultants involved an rational level/regularly reporting back to AP/PFC     4 - Key areas of potential change and expected tasks identified as part of business plan (ensuring ongoing monitoring)     5 - Asset pooling IAA in place     6 - Officers on Wales Pool OWG	Marginal	Low		Current impact 1 too high Current likelihood 2 too high	28/02/2017	Dec 2018	Regular ongoing monitoring by AP to consider if any action is necessary (PL)     Ensure Board requests to JGC/OWG are responded to (PL)     3 - Regular consideration of impact national reorganisation at APs (PL)	СРҒМ	31/12/2018	04/06/2018
6	Services are not being delivered to meet legal and policy objectives	Insufficient staff numbers (e.g., sickness, resignation, retirement, under the record) current states include age profile, implementation of asset pods and local authority pay grades.	G3/G6/G7/ T1	Critical	Very High		1 - 2018/19 business plan includes worldorce matters     2 - Review of admin structure in 2015/16     3 - Finance team restructure commenced (2017/8)     4 - Quarterly update reports consider resourcing matters     5 - Advisory Parell provide back up when required     6- Additional resources, such as outsourcing, considered as part of business plan	Negligible	Very Low		Current impact 2 too high Current likelihood 3 too high	01/07/2016	Sep 2018	1 - Complete and implement Finance team restructure, including fundamental review of future service requirements (PL) 2 - Ongoing consideration of succession planning (PL) 3 - Complete business case for Administration Team and implement the agreed outcome (PL)	СРҒМ	31/12/2018	27/08/2018
7	Legal requirements and/or guidance are not complied with	Those tasked with managing the Fund are not appropriately trained or do not understand their responsibilities (including recording and reporting breaches)	G3/G6/T1/ T2/B1/B2	Marginal	Very Low		1 - TPR Code Compliance review completed annually 2 - Annual internal and external audit reviews 3 - Breaches procedure also assists in identifying non-compliance areas (relevant individuals provided with a copy and training provided) 4 - Training policy in place (fundamental to understanding legal requirements) 5 - Use of nationally developed administration system 6 - Documented processes and procedures 7 - Strategies and policies often included statements or measures 8 - Wide range of advisers and AP in place 9 - Independent adviser in place including annual report which will highlight concerns	Negligible	Very Low		Current impact 1 too high	01/07/2016	Dec 2018	1 - Ongoing work to ensure breaches are identified and the procedure used appropriately (DF) 2 - Further documented processes (as part of TPR compliance) e.g. contribution payment failure (DF) 3 - Embed system of reviewing outstanding actions relating to TPR Code (HB/DF)	СРҒМ	31/10/2018	04/06/2018